## Conduite de Projet Cours 2 — Version Control

#### Stefano Zacchiroli zack@pps.univ-paris-diderot.fr

Laboratoire IRIF, Université Paris Diderot


#### 2015-2016

URL http://upsilon.cc/zack/teaching/1516/cproj/ Copyright © 2012-2016 Stefano Zacchiroli License Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/deed.en\_US

Stefano Zacchiroli (Paris Diderot)

Version Control

#### Sommaire



- 2 diff & patch
- Version control concepts
- 4 Revision Control System (RCS)
- Soncurrent Versions System (CVS)
  - Subversion



#### Sommaire



- 2 diff & patch
- 3 Version control concepts
- 4 Revision Control System (RCS)
- 5) Concurrent Versions System (CVS)
- 6 Subversion



## Change

During the life time of a software project, *everything* changes :

- bugs are discovered and have to be fixed (code)
- system requirements change and need to be implemented
- external dependencies change
  - e.g. new version of hardware and software you depend upon
- competitors might catch up

Most software systems can be thought of as a set of evolving versions

 potentially, each of them has to be maintained concurrently with the others

# Configuration management

#### Definition (Configuration Management)

*Configuration Management (CM)* is concerned with the policies, processes, and tools for managing changing software systems. (Sommerville)

#### Why?

- it is easy to lose track of which changes have been incorporated in each version
  - things get even messier with versions which have to be maintained in parallel
- minimize risks of working on the wrong version
- useful for *solo* projects ⇒ backup on steroids + it's easy to forgot which change has been made and why
- useful for team project ⇒ help in praising(, blaming), know who to ask

## Configuration management activities

Change management keep track of request for changes (from both customers and developers), evaluate costs/risks/benefits, making committment to change

Version management (or version control, revision control, etc.) keeping track of multiple version of (software) components and ensure unrelated changes do not interfere

System building assembling program components, data, and libraries into executable systems

Release management preparing software for external release and keep track of which version is in use at which customer site

#### Configuration management activities

Version management (or version control, revision control, etc.) keeping track of multiple version of (software) components and ensure unrelated changes do not interfere

#### Sommaire



#### 2 diff & patch

- 3) Version control concepts
- 4 Revision Control System (RCS)
- 5) Concurrent Versions System (CVS)
- 6 Subversion



#### Before version control : diff & patch

The Swiss army knife of change management : diff & patch diff compute the difference D among a file A and a file B
can be applied recursively to directories
patch apply a difference D (usually computed using diff) to a file A (possibly producing a new file B)

## diff & patch

# Demo

Stefano Zacchiroli (Paris Diderot)

Version Control

2015-2016 9 / 44

- patches are (were) usually conveyed via email messages to the main software maintainer
- best practices
  - add to emails clear and concise explanations of the purpose of the attached patch
  - do the same in the source code added by the patch
    - nothing new : usual good coding practice; it becomes more important only because the number of software authors grows...)
  - http://tldp.org/HOWTO/ Software-Release-Practice-HOWTO/patching.html

#### Poor man's version control

Projects by a license student often look like this :

lucien> ls
a.out
projet.ml
projet-save.ml
projet-hier.ml
projet-marche-vraiement.ml
projet-dernier.ml

- what are the differences among the 5 source files?
- what are the *relationships* among them?
- hard to answer without specific utilities

#### Poor men's version control (plural)

Project by *a group* of license students :

```
lucien> ls ~joel/projetlucien> ls ~julien/projeta.outa.outmodule.mlmodule.mlmodule-de-julien-qui-marche.mlprojet.mlprojet.mlprojet-recu-de-joel.mlprojet-save.mlmodule-envoye-a-joel.mlprojet-hier.mlprojet-marche-vraiement.mlprojet-dernier.mlprojet-dernier.ml
```

What is the right combination of projet.ml and module.ml to obtain a good grade at the exam?

# diff & patch to the rescue

To exchange projet.ml and module.ml a group of students can rely on emails, diff, and patch (a huge improvement!) Julien

lucien> diff -Nurp projet-hier.ml projet.ml > mescorrections lucien> mail -s "Voici mes modifs" joel@lucien < mescorrection</pre>

Joel

```
lucien> mail
Mail version 8.1.2 01/15/2001. Type ? for help.
> 1 julien@home Fri Sep 13 20:06 96/4309 voici mes modifs
& s 1 /tmp/changes
& x
lucien> patch < /tmp/changes</pre>
```

Julien's changes between projet-hier.ml and projet.ml are now integrated in Joel's copy of projet.ml (hoping no conflicting changes have been made by Joel...)

# diff & patch : except that...

Nonetheless, on exam day nothing works, although it worked just the day before. *Panicking*, you'll try to understand :

- what has changed
- who did the change
  - probably you don't care about why, but still...
- when it has been done
- which state, not including that change, works properly
- how to get back to that state

⇒ you (badly) need a *real* Version Control System

#### Sommaire



- 2 diff & patch
- Version control concepts
  - 4 Revision Control System (RCS)
  - 5 Concurrent Versions System (CVS)
  - 6 Subversion



## Version Control System (VCS)

#### A version control system

- manage specific artifacts which form your source code
  - files, directories, their attributes, etc.
- is able to store changes to those artifacts (a VCS implements the notion of version for source code)
  - who has done a change
  - wrt which state
  - why
  - when
- can show the differences among different (stored) states
- can go back in time and restore a previous state
- can manage concurrent work among developers, distributing the changes among them

#### Basic VCS concepts

A few basic concepts are shared across VCSs : 1

# revision (or version) a specific state, or point in time, of the content tracked by the VCS granularity and scope vary history a set of revisions, (partially) ordered

<sup>1.</sup> although the actual naming changes from system to system; we'll stick to the naming presented here

#### Basic VCS concepts (cont.)

A few basic concepts are shared across VCSs : 1

repository (or depot) where the tracked content and all its history, as known to the VCS, is stored

might be local or remote

working copy a local copy of a revision, which might be acted uponwhere the "real" work happens

checkout (or clone) the action of creating a working copy from a repository

<sup>1.</sup> although the actual naming changes from system to system; we'll stick to the naming presented here

## Basic VCS concepts (cont.)

A few basic concepts are shared across VCSs : 1

#### change (or delta) a specific modification to (or *with respect to*) the content tracked by the VCS


- granularity vary
- commit (as a verb) the act of writing a change performed in the working copy back to the repository
  - adding a new revision to the history
- commit (as a substantive) same as change, for changes that have been committed
  - diff the act of (or the result of) inspecting the differences among two revisions, or among a revision and the working copy
    - inspection format is usually diff

1. although the actual naming changes from system to system; we'll stick to the naming presented here

# Branching and merging

branch (verb) the act of duplicating (or "forking") a specific revision in history, to open up a new line of development

- branches are usually named
- branch (substantive) subset of history rooted at a fork point and extending until the next merge point
- merge (verb) the act of joining together multiple lines of development, reconciling all their changes together
- merge (substantive) the point in history where the merge happens




# Branching and merging (cont.)

- assuming an idealized purely functional model, content history can then be depicted as a direct acyclic graph
- parallel changes may or may not be compatible...

conflict the situation occurring when, upon a merge attempt, changes from involved branches cannot be reconciled


solving a conflict means applying extra changes to combine non (automatically) reconcilable changes or choose a subset of them



# Branching and merging (cont.)

tag (or label) a symbolic name attached to a particular revision in history

- head (or tip) the (moving) tag always associated to the most recent commit; might be limited to a specific "special" branch, such as :
- trunk (or master) the unique line of development which is not a branch
  - peculiar : treating a specific branch as special is not necessary for the idealized model to work



#### Brief history of VCSs

- 1972 SCCS (Source Code Control System), commercial (AT&T) UNIX-es, part of the Single UNIX Specification; scope : file; modern clone (for compatibility only) : cssc
- 1982 RCS (Revision Control System) GNU-based UNIX-es; scope : file; Free-er and generally considered more evolved than SCCS, currently maintained by the GNU Project
- 1990 CVS (Concurrent Version System), client-server paradigm; scope : set of files
- late 1990's TeamWare, BitKeeper; early attempt at distributed version control system; proprietary
  - 2000 Subversion (SVN); client-server, addressing many defects of CVS
  - 2001- Distributed VCS (DVCS) golden age : GNU arch (2001), Darcs (2002), SVK (2003), Monotone (2003), Git (2005), Mercurial (2005), Bazaar (2005) more on this later...

Stefano Zacchiroli (Paris Diderot)

Version Control

#### Sommaire



2 diff & patch

3 Version control concepts

#### 4 Revision Control System (RCS)

- Concurrent Versions System (CVS)
- 6 Subversion



#### Revision Control System (RCS)

- one of the oldest system (1982)
- typical of the commercial UNIX era
- scope : single file
  - although the repositories for several files can be stored in the same "shared" place
- repository
  - file, v where file is the original name of the checked in file
  - changes are stored as incremental <u>reverse</u> diffs
  - minimization of secondary storage : delta compression is triggered by deletion of intermediate revisions
- concurrency model :
  - pessimistic approach : one have to acquire explicit locks before making modification; by default working copies are read-only
  - as the working copy is shared among users, this enforced a rather heavy mutual exclusion discipline

commit ci FILE(without lock)ci -l FILE(with lock)checkout co FILE(without lock)co -l FILE(with lock)diff rcsdiff -rVERSION1 -rVERSION2 FILE(with lock)history rlog FILEacquire lock rcs -l FILE

#### RCS — basic operations

# Demo

Stefano Zacchiroli (Paris Diderot)

Version Control

2015-2016 22 / 44

# RCS — branching and merging

Versions in RCS are trees, where branches are reflected in the syntax of versions. "Minor" version numbers are increased automatically by RCS upon commit; "major" numbers can be specified explicitly by the user upon commit.

- history with single branch
   (1.1) -> (1.2) -> (1.3) -> (1.4) -> (2.1) -> (2.2)
- history with multiple branches

   (1.1) -> (1.2) -> (1.3) -> (1.4) -> (2.1) -> (2.2)
   (1.3.1.1)

#### RCS — branching and merging operations

#### branch ci -rVERSION FILE example : ci -r2 foo.ml

branch checkout co -rVERSION FILE

merge rcsmerge -p -rVERSION1 -rVERSION2 FILE > RESULT

 preforms a 3-way diff (a-la diff3) among old (common) VERSION1, and the two new versions : VERSION2 and the current state of FILE

#### Example

rcsmerge -p -r1 -r3 foo.ml > foo.ml.new

merges the differences among branch 1 and 3 of foo.ml, with differences among branch 1 and the current version of foo.ml; save the result to foo.ml.new

#### RCS — branching and merging operations

# Demo

Stefano Zacchiroli (Paris Diderot)

Version Control

2015-2016 24 / 44

#### Sommaire



2 diff & patch

- 3 Version control concepts
- 4 Revision Control System (RCS)
- Soncurrent Versions System (CVS)
  - 6 Subversion



#### Concurrent Versions System (CVS)

- a significant (r)evolution in the history of VCS
- designed to address the (too) constraining mutual exclusion discipline enforced by RCS (hence the emphasis on *concurrent*)
- client-server model
  - enforce decoupling of repository and working copy
  - several working copies exist—generally one for each developer—and can be acted upon independently
  - commands and processes to :
    - \* "push" local changes from working copies to the repository
    - \* "pull" changes (made by others) from the repository and merge it with the local (uncommitted) changes
    - \* deal with conflicts and try to avoid they hit the repository
  - note : the repository is used as <u>the</u> orchestrator and as the sole data storage
    - \* "local commits" are not possible
    - \* disconnected operations are heavily limited

#### CVS - some details

- scope : a project (i.e., a tree of file and directories)
- built as a set of scripts on top of RCS
  - each file has its own ,v file stored in the repository
  - each file has its own set of RCS versions (1.1, 2.3, 1.1.2.4, etc.)
  - a very cool hack, but still a hack
- the repository can be either local (i.e., on the same machine of the working copy) or remote (accessible through the network; common scenario)
- concurrency model :
  - optimistic approach : working copies can be acted upon by default; given that working copies are independent from each other, work is concurrent by default
  - conflicts are noticed upon commit and must be solved locally (i.e., commits prior to merges are forbidden)
  - explicit locks are permitted via dedicated actions
- curiosity : one of the first popular UNIX commands relying on sub-commands

#### CVS — basic operations

#### repository setup export CVSROOT=SOME/DIR cvs init

create a project cd PROJECT-DIR

cvs import -d NAME VENDOR-NAME RELEASE-NAME example : cvs import -d coolhack zack initial

checkout cvs checkout NAME

- status get information about the status of the working copy with respect to (the last contact with) the repository
- commit cvs commit [FILE...]

example : cvs commit -m 'fix segmentation fault' foo.c

update (merge changes from the repository in the local copy) cvs update -d history cvs log diff cvs diff [FILE...] (among working copy and last update) diff cvs diff -rVERSION1 -rVERSION2 [FILE...] remove file cvs rm FILE (schedule removal; needs commit) add file cvs add FILE (schedule addition; needs commit)

#### CVS — basic operations

# Demo

Stefano Zacchiroli (Paris Diderot)

Version Control

2015-2016 28 / 44

### CVS — branching and merging operations

tag cvs tag TAG-NAME

branch cvs tag -b BRANCH-NAME # work on trunk continues cvs update -r BRANCH-NAME # work on branch ... cvs update -A # go back working on trunk

merge cvs update – j BRANCH-NAME # merge changes (wc) cvs commit

## CVS — branching and merging operations

# Demo

Stefano Zacchiroli (Paris Diderot)

Version Control

2015-2016 30 / 44

## CVS - discussion

revolutionary for its time

affected by severe limitations nonetheless :

- revisions are per file, i.e., there is no knowledge of repository-wide revisions (they can be emulated by tags, but...)
- no knowledge of several common file-system features (e.g., attributes, symlink, file move)
- files are considered textual by default; ad-hoc and limited support for binary content
- branch operations are expensive (underlying assumption : most of the work happens in trunk)
- commits are not atomic operations
- very little support for disconnected operations (e.g., painful when you're hacking on a plane)

### Sommaire



- 2 diff & patch
- 3 Version control concepts
- 4 Revision Control System (RCS)
  - Concurrent Versions System (CVS)

#### Subversion



Started in 2000 to overcome CVS limitations.

Historical context :

In the world of open source software, the Concurrent Version System (CVS) has long been the tool of choice for version control. And rightly so. CVS itself is free software, and its non-restrictive modus operandi and support for networked operation—which allow dozens of geographically dispersed programmers to share their work—fits the collaborative nature of the open-source world very well. CVS and its semi-chaotic development model have become cornerstones of open-source.

- Collins-Sussman

## Subversion (SVN)

- same paradigm of CVS : client-server + independent working copies
- features (i.e., "bug fixes" w.r.t. CVS) :
  - atomic commits
  - tracking (in the history!) of file-system level operations (copy, move, remove, etc.)
  - global versioning (rather than per-file versioning)
  - support for symlinks and (some) file-system level metadata
  - cheap (server-side) branches
  - some (but not much) support for disconnected operations (most notably : diff among working copy and HEAD)

To increase adoption chances within CVS circles, SVN command line interface has been designed to be as compatible as possible to CVS interface. The strategy has worked very well! Most commands work as in CVS.

basic operations svn checkout, svn status, svn add, svn remove, svn commit, svn diff, svn log, svn update, ...

repository setup svnadmin create REPO-PATH

create a project (actually : create a directory in a repository) svn checkout REPO-PATH svn mkdir DIR svn commit

#### SVN — basic operations

# Demo

Stefano Zacchiroli (Paris Diderot)

Version Control

2015-2016 35 / 44

## SVN — branching and merging

- branches in SVN are part of the versioned tree
- to create a branch, one makes a copy of an existing directory to a new path
  - development can then proceed independently in the original and new directory
  - branches are cheap ("shallow copies") on the repository side (but not on the client side)
  - partial checkouts are possible, to avoid forcing clients to keep all branches at once
- tags work in the same way; the only difference is that a tag doesn't (i.e., shouldn't) be committed to
- specific path conventions are suggested...

## SVN — path conventions

```
project/
    trunk/
         main.ml
         module-foo.ml
        auux/
         . . .
    branches/
         feature1/
             main ml
             module-foo.ml
             quux/
         feature2/
             main.ml
             module-foo.ml
             quux/
              . . .
    tags/
         1.0-rc1/
             main.ml
             module-foo ml
             quux/
              . . .
         1.0/
             main ml
             module-foo.ml
             quux/
         1.1/
             main.ml
             module-foo ml
             auux/
              . . .
```

Recommended path conventions.

No strict requirement (but still recommended for uniformity across projects).

## SVN — branching and merging example

#### branching off trunk

- \$ svn cp -m "Creating branch for feature A" \
   /trunk/component1 /branches/zack-component1-featureA
- \$ svn log -v /branches/zack-component1-featureA
- # prints revision number, say 123

#### updating the branch

- \$ svn merge -r 123:HEAD /trunk/component1 .
- # test new code from master
- \$ svn commit -m'sync with master'
- # Revision 256.
- merging into trunk
  - \$ cd /trunk/component1

```
$ svn merge -r 123:HEAD /branches/zack-component1-featureA
```

```
$ svn commit -m'integrate feature A by Zack'
```

\$

## SVN — branching and merging example (better)

- \$ cd /trunk/component1
- \$ svn merge --- reintegrate REPO-PATH/branches/zack-componer
- \$ svn commit -m'integrate feature A by Zack'

\$

• keep track of merged revisions via svn:mergeinfo property

### SVN — branching and merging

# Demo

Stefano Zacchiroli (Paris Diderot)

Version Control

2015-2016 40 / 44

### Sommaire



- 2 diff & patch
- 3 Version control concepts
- 4 Revision Control System (RCS)
- 5 Concurrent Versions System (CVS)
- 6 Subversion



We will follow the excellent tutorial :


#### Git, a distributed revision control system Thomas Petazzoni **Free Electrons** thomas.petazzoni@free-electrons.com

http://thomas.enix.org/pub/conf/git2011/presentation.pdf




- Version control, centralized vs. distributed
- Git local and basic usage
- Details on Git internals
- Working with branches
- Working with remotes in Git
- Contributing with Git
- Some advanced features






- The server has all the history of the project
- Users only have a working copy
- All operations involve the central server, no code can be exchanged without this server

## Distributed version control



- Each user has a local repository, with the full history of the project
- No server is needed for any operation, everything can be done locally: committing, creating branches and tags, merging, etc.
- Code can be exchanged with others without any central server
- And many more cool features !




- Until 2002, Linus Torvalds and the kernel developers were not using any version control system, because none of the existing one would scale enough for a project as large as Linux.
- In 2002, they started using *BitKeeper*, a proprietary distributed version control system, for which a *free* (as in *free beer*) was available.
- It proved that DVCS were useful for large free software projects

A short history of open-source DVCS

- In 2005, a developer started to reverse engineer the *BitKeeper* protocol in order to create a *free* (as in *free speech*) compatible client
- In reaction, the company developing *BitKeeper* stopped the free as in free beer client. The kernel community was left without its version control system
- In March 2005, Linus Torvalds starts the development of Git, with the first release published on 7th April 2005

A short history of open-source DVCS

- On 16th June 2005, the first Linux kernel managed by Git is released
- When Git development started, many other DVCS were started: Monotone, SVK, Mercurial, Darcs, Arch, Bazaar
- Six years later, only two free DVCS are still widely used: Git and Mercurial, with Git having probably more than 90% "market share" in the free software community
- Projects such as Gnome, Eclipse, KDE, the Linux kernel, X.org, Freedesktop, Qt and many other open-source projects
- Nowadays, knowing Git is mandatory to contribute to most open-source projects



\$ mkdir myproject
\$ cd myproject

\$ git init
Initialized empty Git repository in .../myproject/.git/

The .git directory

#### \$ ls -al

drwxr-xr-x 7 thomas thomas 4096 2011-03-17 20:31 .git

#### \$ ls -al .git

drwxr-xr-x 2 thomas thomas 4096 2011-03-17 20:31 branches -rw-r--r-- 1 thomas thomas 92 2011-03-17 20:31 config -rw-r--r-- 1 thomas thomas 73 2011-03-17 20:31 description -rw-r--r-- 1 thomas thomas 23 2011-03-17 20:31 HEAD drwxr-xr-x 2 thomas thomas 4096 2011-03-17 20:31 hooks drwxr-xr-x 4 thomas thomas 4096 2011-03-17 20:31 objects drwxr-xr-x 4 thomas thomas 4096 2011-03-17 20:31 refs



- The project directory is the working copy: it will contain directly the files of the project, that we can edit, modify, commit, etc.. At any given time, the working directory allows to edit one particular version of the project.
- The .git directory is the repository: it will contain the complete history of the project.



Git maintains configuration at two levels:

- At the project level, in the .git/config file
- At the user level, in the ~/.gitconfig file
- At the system level, in the /etc/gitconfig file (rarely used)

The configuration can be edited:

- With the git config command. By default at the project level, with --global at the user level
- Manually by editing the configuration files

Basic configuration

At the minimum, one must set its name and e-mail through the *git* configuration: these informations are used to identify each commit.

```
git config --global user.name \
"Thomas Petazzoni"
```

```
git config --global user.email \
    thomas.petazzoni@free-electrons.com
```

Resulting .gitconfig file:

[user]

```
name = Thomas Petazzoni
email = thomas.petazzoni@free-electrons.com
```



#### \$ cat > README

This is a wonderful project Ctrl+D

#### \$ git add README



## Status of the working copy

#### \$ git status

```
# On branch master
#
# Initial commit
#
# Changes to be committed:
# (use "git rm --cached <file>..." to unstage)
#
# new file: README
#
```




#### Committing the changes

#### \$ git commit

#### Starts a text editor to define the commit message


[master (root-commit) 6ea2ec1] First commit. 1 files changed, 1 insertions(+), 0 deletions(-) create mode 100644 README

or, alternatively:
\$ git commit -m ''My commit message''



A commit message should be composed of:

- ► A first line that briefly summarizes the change. Should be less than ≈ 80 characters. Git will use it as the short description of the commit.
- An empty line, separating the short description and the long description.
- ► A long description, of arbitrary size. Most projects want this to be wrapped at ≈ 80 characters.
- A Signed-off-by: Foobar <foobar@company.com> line, as requested by various projects. git commit -s adds the SoB automatically.




net: fix rds\_iovec page count overflow

As reported by Thomas Pollet, the rdma page counting can overflow. We get the rdma sizes in 64-bit unsigned entities, but then limit it to UINT\_MAX bytes and shift them down to pages (so with a possible "+1" for an unaligned address).

So each individual page count fits comfortably in an 'unsigned int' (not even close to overflowing into signed), but as they are added up, they might end up resulting in a signed return value. Which would be wrong.


Catch the case of tot\_pages turning negative, and return the appropriate error code.

Reported-by: Thomas Pollet <thomas.pollet@gmail.com> Signed-off-by: Linus Torvalds <torvalds@linux-foundation.org> Signed-off-by: Andy Grover <andy.grover@oracle.com> Signed-off-by: David S. Miller <davem@davemloft.net>



#### \$ cat > foo.c

```
#include <stdio.h>
int main(void) {
    printf("Hello world\n");
    return 0;
}
Ctrl+D
$ git add foo.c
$ git commit -s -m ''foo.c: new program''
```



#### \$ git log

commit 43ed997a01891a4bfe2cd9c5d41d23e7099068cf Author: Thomas Petazzoni <thomas.petazzoni@free-electrons.com> Date: Tue Mar 29 20:17:39 2011 +0200

foo.c: new program


Signed-off-by: Thomas Petazzoni <thomas.petazzoni@free-electrons.com>

commit f01765d134d897ff373e70c4f1df7610b810392e Author: Thomas Petazzoni <thomas.petazzoni@free-electrons.com> Date: Tue Mar 29 20:17:33 2011 +0200

Documentation for project

Signed-off-by: Thomas Petazzoni <thomas.petazzoni@free-electrons.com>

# Shows the history in reverse chronological order. Other orderings are possible.



- $\blacktriangleright$  \$ git log -p to show the patch together with each commit
- \$ git log foo.c to show the changes affecting a particular file or directory
- \$ git log commit1..commit2 to show the changes
  between two specific commits
- \$ git show somecommit to show the change done by a
  particular commit



#### Commit identification

- The distributed nature of Git makes it impossible to provide a linear revision number that monotonically increments over time, as is done in *Subversion* or *CVS*
- Each commit is uniquely identified by a SHA1 hash of its contents
- For example:

#### f01765d134d897ff373e70c4f1df7610b810392e

- One can also refer to it in a shorter-form, as long as it is unique:
  - ▶ \$ git show f017
  - ▶ \$ git show f01765d1



## Commit identification: example

commit f01765d134d897ff373e70c4f1df7610b810392e Author: Thomas Petazzoni <thomas.petazzoni@free-electrons.com> Date: Tue Mar 29 20:17:33 2011 +0200

Documentation for project


Signed-off-by: Thomas Petazzoni <thomas.petazzoni@free-electrons.com>

diff --git a/README b/README
new file mode 100644
index 0000000..3803bca
--- /dev/null
+++ b/README
@@ -0,0 +1 @@
+This is a wonderful project



- Git does not directly commit all the changes you have your working directory, as *Subversion* or *CVS* do
- Instead, Git requires you to stage the changes you would like to commit, before doing the actual commit
- This is done through a special space, confusingly called the index
- When used with partial-file staging (seen later), it is a very powerful feature





git commit -a

### The index: make various changes

After adding one line to the README file and changing the message in foo.c, we have:

#### \$ git diff

```
diff --git a/README b/README
index 3803bca..bbdf5e3 100644
--- a/README
+++ b/README
@@ -1 +1.2 @@
This is a wonderful project
+really wonderful!
diff --git a/foo.c b/foo.c
index 0e58fa9..0518d69 100644
--- a/foo.c
+++ b/foo.c
@@ -1.5 +1.5 @@
#include <stdio.h>
 int main(void) {
 printf("Hello world\n");
   printf("Bonjour Monde\n");
+
   return 0;
```

# The index: stage one change

Now, we stage the changes of foo.c into the index: \$ git add foo.c

#### \$ git diff --cached

```
diff --git a/foo.c b/foo.c
index 0e58fa9..0518d69 100644
--- a/foo.c
+++ b/foo.c
@@ -1,5 +1,5 @@
#include <stdio.h>
int main(void) {
- printf("Hello world\n");
+ printf("Bonjour Monde\n");
return 0;
}
```

# These are the changes inside the index, which will be committed if I do git $\tt commit$

The index: what's left in the working copy ?

#### \$ git diff

```
diff --git a/README b/README
index 3803bca..bbdf5e3 100644
--- a/README
+++ b/README
@@ -1 +1,2 @@
This is a wonderful project
+really wonderful!
```

These are the changes inside the working copy, left to be committed in a later commit.

⊢ The index: what's in the index ?

#### \$ git status


```
On branch master
#
 Changes to be committed:
#
    (use "git reset HEAD <file>..." to unstage)
#
#
 modified: foo.c
#
#
#
  Changed but not updated:
    (use "git add <file>..." to update what will be committed)
#
    (use "git checkout -- <file>..." to discard changes in working directory)
#
#
 modified:
#
              R.E.ADME.
#
```

The index: commit the contents of the index

#### We commit the contents of the index:

#### \$ git commit -m ''foo.c: translate to french''

[master 8f1fab2] foo.c: translate to french
1 files changed, 1 insertions(+), 1 deletions(-)



#### \$ git show

commit 8f1fab278c876f8677b3b644bbb5403c11a676ea
Author: Thomas Petazzoni <thomas.petazzoni@free-electrons.com>
Date: Tue Mar 29 21:22:41 2011 +0200

foo.c: translate to french

```
diff --git a/foo.c b/foo.c
index 0e58fa9..0518d69 100644
--- a/foo.c
+++ b/foo.c
@@ -1,5 +1,5 @@
#include <stdio.h>
int main(void) {
- printf("Hello world\n");
+ printf("Bonjour Monde\n");
return 0;
}
```


The index: what's left in the working copy ?

#### \$ git diff


diff --git a/README b/README index 3803bca..bbdf5e3 100644 --- a/README +++ b/README @@ -1 +1,2 @@ This is a wonderful project +really wonderful!



- What if I want to commit all the changes I have in my working directory, without bothering to stage them in the index ?
- You can use git commit -a



- git mv f1 f2 will move or rename one file or directory. History is preserved accross renames.
- git rm f1 will remove one file or directory.
- In both cases, the change is done in the index and needs to be committed.



- git init, initialize a repository
- git add, stage a file for commit
- git commit, commit changes in the index
- git log, explore history
- git show, show one commit
- git reset, reset changes from the index to the working directory
- git mv, move files
- git rm, remove files




There are three major object types in Git:

- The blob
- The tree
- The commit

All objects are identified by their SHA1.



A **blob** simply allows to store the contents of a particular version of a file, without its name. Just a chunk of binary data.





A **tree** represents a directory, with pointers to blobs for the files and pointers to trees for the subdirectories.

tree		
foo.c	blob	5c1527cb
README	blob	76f634ac
lib	tree	ff5c610d
src	tree	cc6781ff



A **commit** represents a particular commit, which associates a particular state of a tree with an author, a committer and a message, and also points to a parent commit.





#### Raw informations about the latest commit:

\$ git show --format=raw

commit 92179e1ea0ba3d62bc2f12463370c3f998ba7d62
tree c5241c1c8a626e7e7b7e8a457eebd6e6e2393aa0
parent fc8911d4b0da304ca6ff9b1fc93ce3f2fbdd1008
author Thomas Petazzoni <thomas.petazzoni@free-electrons.com> 1301427783 +0200
committer Thomas Petazzoni <thomas.petazzoni@free-electrons.com> 1301427783 +020

Update documentation


```
diff --git a/README b/README
index 3803bca..bbdf5e3 100644
--- a/README
+++ b/README
@@ -1 +1,2 @@
This is a wonderful project
+really wonderful!
```



#### Let's look at the tree:

#### **\$** git ls-tree c5241c1c8a626e7e7b7e8a457eebd6e6e2393aa0

100644 blob bbdf5e3c38e09706b6cb9ca0d87af9d4940e58b1 README 100644 blob 0518d6958a90b7ae45530e93632967826b0ee3d4 foo.c 040000 tree 7751df8a2c450e0860c311fedeff797dd912bda1 src



#### Let's look at one of the blobs:

**\$** git show bbdf5e3c38e09706b6cb9ca0d87af9d4940e58b1

This is a wonderful project really wonderful!

Exploring another commit object

```
Let's look at the parent commit:
```

\$ git show --format=raw fc8911d4b0da304ca6ff9b1fc93ce3f2fbdd1008

commit fc8911d4b0da304ca6ff9b1fc93ce3f2fbdd1008
tree fed70e7fea02547c4ebb74122c98a3c268586377
parent 4fc1910b790f6bba82b9eafa5297146cd0c9e2f5
author Thomas Petazzoni <thomas.petazzoni@free-electrons.com> 1301427777 +0200
committer Thomas Petazzoni <thomas.petazzoni@free-electrons.com> 1301427777 +020

```
bar.c: new source file
```


```
diff --git a/src/bar.c b/src/bar.c
new file mode 100644
index 0000000..c5853ff
--- /dev/null
+++ b/src/bar.c
@@ -0,0 +1,3 @@
+int bar(void) {
+ return 42;
+}
```

💫 Exploring another tree object

#### Let's look at the root tree for this commit: \$ git ls-tree fed70e7fea02547c4ebb74122c98a3c268586377

\$ git ls-tree fed70e7fea02547c4ebb74122c98a3c268586377 100644 blob 3803bca12517a0974a6eb979b7b17e6f0941d550 README 100644 blob 0518d6958a90b7ae45530e93632967826b0ee3d4 foo.c 040000 tree 7751df8a2c450e0860c311fedeff797dd912bda1 src

We have the same blob for foo.c, the same tree for src, but a different blob for README, this is because the commit changed the README file.




#### Let's look at the state of the README file at this commit: \$ git show 3803bca12517a0974a6eb979b7b17e6f0941d550

This is a wonderful project



- Branches are probably one of the most **powerful** and **useful** feature of Git
- While traditional VCS make branches difficult to create and manage, Git makes it very easy
- Branches are kept completely local, allowing each developer to organize its work in has many branches as he wants
- Branches are cheap, so typically a developer would create a branch even for a very small work (fixing a bug, etc.)
- Branches can be merged together, or exchanged with other developers



- One can list all local branches using git branch
- By default, there is a master branch
- The current branch is highlighted with a star
- \$ git branch

\* master

Creating and switching between branches

- To create a branch: git branch branchname. The branch is created from where you are as the starting point.
- To switch to a branch: git checkout branchname
- ► To do both at once: git checkout -b branchname
- When you are in a branch, all commits you do end up in the current branch

## Creating and switching between branches

#### \$ git branch

\* master

# \$ git branch fix-bug \$ git branch

fix-bug


\* master

#### \$ git checkout fix-bug

Switched to branch 'fix-bug'

#### \$ git branch

\* fix-bug master




# \$ emacs src/bar.c \$ git commit -a -m ''bar.c: fix bug with ret val'' \$ git log master..

commit ac4d966da54b24d784854cabb0c72855aa4b44f5
Author: Thomas Petazzoni <thomas.petazzoni@free-electrons.com>
Date: Tue Mar 29 22:20:22 2011 +0200

bar.c: fix bug with ret val



Gitk is a Git history visualizer, started with gitk --all, it shows the history for all branches:







- Branches are just pointers to the latest commit in this particular branch
- Thanks to the *parent* pointer in each commit, Git can go back inside the history
- The branches are described as SHA1 stored in simple text files in .git/refs/heads
- HEAD is a special pointer that always points to the latest commit in the current branch



- If you have split your work in several branches and want to merge them together.
- Go to the destination branch (where things should be merged)
- Use the git merge branchname command
- Contrary to Subversion, all the branch history will be preserved, even if the branch gets deleted.



fix-bug bar.c: fix another bug with ret val
 bar.c: fix bug with ret val
 fix-another-bug foo.c: fix message
 master Update documentation
 bar.c: new source file
 foo.c: translate to french
 foo.c: new program
 Documentation for project




#### \$ git checkout master

Switched to branch 'master'

#### \$ git merge fix-bug

```
Updating 92179e1..10e8da2
Fast-forward
src/bar.c | 2 +-
1 files changed, 1 insertions(+), 1 deletions(-)
```



fix-bug master bar.c: fix another bug with ret val
 bar.c: fix bug with ret val
 fix-another-bug foo.c: fix message
 Update documentation
 bar.c: new source file
 foo.c: translate to french
 foo.c: new program
 Documentation for project




#### \$ git branch -d fix-bug

Deleted branch fix-bug (was 10e8da2).

Note: git branch -d only works with completely merged branches. If you want to remove a non-merged branch, you need to use git branch -D.


Merging: state after the merge and deletion

master bar.c: fix another bug with ret val
 bar.c: fix bug with ret val
 fix-another-bug foo.c: fix message
 Update documentation
 bar.c: new source file
 foo.c: translate to french
 foo.c: new program
 Documentation for project



There are many ways to refer to commits, or range of commits:

- master..mybranch, all commits between master and mybranch
- master.., all commits from master to where you are
- HEAD is the latest commit
- HEAD<sup>^</sup> is the parent of the latest commit
- ▶ HEAD~3 is the grand-grand-parent of the latest commit



- Obviously, since Git is distributed, you can communicate with remote servers.
- This is typically done using the following commands
  - ▶ git clone
  - ▶ git push
  - ▶ git pull




- **Cloning** is the operation that consists in *cloning a complete repository* to your local machine and *creating a working copy* for the master branch.
- Done with git clone server-url
- Useful when you're not starting a project from scratch, but want to contribute to an existing project.
- git clone is needed only once, just like svn checkout with Subversion (not to be confused with git checkout !)
- It will setup an initial *remote* named **origin** which points to the server you have cloned from.



Git repositories can be accessed:

- Through the git:// protocol, which is the native git protocol, offering the best performance. For read-only access only. Usually the best solution to *clone* a project.
- Through the http:// protocol. For read-only access, lower performance than git://. Mostly useful if you are behind a firewall that doesn't allow git://.
- Through the ssh:// protocol. For read-write access.



## \$ git clone git://git.busybox.net/buildroot


Initialized empty Git repository in /tmp/buildroot/.git/ remote: Counting objects: 68156, done. remote: Compressing objects: 100% (25281/25281), done. remote: Total 68156 (delta 46316), reused 64299 (delta 42543) Receiving objects: 100% (68156/68156), 25.22 MiB | 185 KiB/s, done. Resolving deltas: 100% (46316/46316), done.



- **Pulling** is the operation that consists in *fetching changes* from a remote repository and *merging them* into the current local branch
  - Under the hood, git pull does git fetch and then git merge
- Typically, one keeps the master branch free of any local change, and updates it with a simple git pull command. Equivalent to svn update in the Subversion world.
- By default, pulls from the master branch of the origin repository.
- Can also be used to merge contents from other remote repository, if you're integrating the work of other developers.





## \$ git pull



- A centralized workflow, which is similar to Subversion workflow. There is a central Git repository on a public server, and all project participants have write access to it. They can simply git push their changes to it.
- A distributed workflow, where only the project maintainer has write access to the official Git server. This is the workflow used by many free software projects, such as the Linux kernel.








- Do a bare clone of your repository (a clone without a working copy) git clone --bare /home/thomas/myproject
- Make it work through HTTP


cd myproject.git
git --bare update-server-info
mv hooks/post-update.sample hooks/post-update
chmod a+x hooks/post-update

Transfer the bare clone to a remote location, publicly accessible, on which you have write access: scp -r myproject.git login@somewhere.com:~/public\_html



## You can access your repo at login@somewhere.com:~/public\_html/project.git Others can access your repo at

http://somewhere.com/~login/project.git




### To push the current branch:


```
git push
login@somewhere.com:~/public_html/project.git
```

- To push the branch named foobar to a branch named barfoo on your remote repository: git push login@somewhere.com:~/public\_html/project.git foobar:barfoo
- To delete the remote branch barfoo:

git push
login@somewhere.com:~/public\_html/project.git
:barfoo



# With git branch -a you can list all branches, both local and remote ones.



- Typing the complete URL of a remote is painful.
- Git offers a command, git remote, to manage aliases for remotes. These aliases can then be used with all Git commands, especially git pull and git push
- > git remote add thealias theurl adds a remote
- git remote rm thealias removes a remote
- git remote shows all remotes
- git remote show thealias gives details about a remote
- git remote prune thealias to delete all branches that no longer exist remotely




- A remote origin is created by git clone, it refers to the server from which the repository was cloned, and is used as the default for pull/push.
- All remote aliases are stored in .git/config
- Typically useful for your public repository, but also for the public repositories of the developers you're working with.




## Add a remote for my public repository: git remote add public login@somewhere.com:~/public\_html/project.git

#### Push the current branch to it: git push public



- 1. Clone
- 2. Create branch for feature development or bug fix
- 3. Make changes, make one or more commit
- 4. Either
  - Push the branch to a public repository
  - Tell the project maintainer to pull your branch
- 5. or
  - Send patches by e-mail
- Once the changes are merged: remove the branch and git pull your master branch
- 7. Goto step 2



- Helps in sending an e-mail to ask the maintainer to pull one of your publicly visible branch.
- Make sure your branch is publicly visible and up-to-date: git push public mybranch
- > Prepare the text for the pull request: git request-pull master http://somewhere.com/~login/project.git
  - The master in the command is the starting point of the interval of commits for which the pull request is generated.
- Send the text by e-mail to the maintainer.



## \$ git request-pull master http://somewhere.com/~login/project.git

The following changes since commit 10e8da2b115bab3419a28e9af52a5d67c3f797cc:

bar.c: fix another bug with ret val (2011-03-29 22:28:58 +0200)

```
are available in the git repository at:
    http://thomas.enix.org/pub/demo.git fix-another-bug
```

```
Thomas Petazzoni (2):
foo.c: fix message
foo.c: more messages
```

```
foo.c | 3 ++-
1 files changed, 2 insertions(+), 1 deletions(-)
```



- Another way of contributing is to send patches to a mailing list. It allows other to review and comment your patches.
- Patches are generated using git format-patch, the short description is used as the title, the long description as the changelog of the patch
- Patches are sent using git send-email
- git send-email requires a properly configured SMTP setup:
  - > git config --global sendemail.smtpserver
    foobar.com
  - > git config --global sendemail.smtpuser
    user
  - > git config --global sendemail.smtppass
    pass



## \$ git format-patch master

0001-foo.c-fix-message.patch 0002-foo.c-more-messages.patch

## \$ git send-email --to mailing@project.org \*.patch


To: thomas@enix.org Subject: [PATCH 1/2] foo.c: fix message Date: Tue, 29 Mar 2011 23:57:10 +0200 ... To: thomas@enix.org Subject: [PATCH 2/2] foo.c: more messages Date: Tue, 29 Mar 2011 23:57:11 +0200



- git config --global sendemail.confirm auto avoids the need to confirm the sending of each message
- git config --global sendemail.chainreplyto false avoids to have each e-mail being a reply to the previous one: all patches are attached directly to the main mail
- git send-email --compose opens a text editor to write a special text for the introduction message
- Patches formatted with git format-patch are better than normal patches, as they properly handle binary files and file renames/removal.
- A maintainer can integrate a patch sent by git send-email using the git am command.

git send-email output

8	[Buildroot] [pull request] Pull request for branch for-2011.02/python-bump	Thomas Petazzon	24/01/11
	[Buildroot] [PATCH 01/10] python: Move to version 2.7	Thomas Petazzon	24/01/11
	[Buildroot] [PATCH 02/10] python: Port the python2.4 patches to 2.7	Thomas Petazzon	
	[Buildroot] [PATCH 03/10] python: Add the needed patches to compile python2.7 in buildroot.	Thomas Petazzon	24/01/11
	[Buildroot] [PATCH 04/10] python: Fix make install (Workaround python's bug #1669349)	Thomas Petazzon	24/01/11
	[Buildroot] [PATCH 05/10] libffi: new package	Thomas Petazzon	24/01/11
	[Buildroot] [PATCH 06/10] autotools: allow host package to use <pkg>_MAKE_ENV and <pkg< p=""></pkg<></pkg>	Thomas Petazzon	24/01/11
8	Re: [Buildroot] [PATCH 06/10] autotools: allow host package to use <pkg>_MAKE_ENV and</pkg>		
	Re: [Buildroot] [PATCH 06/10] autotools: allow host package to use <pkg>_MAKE_ENV and</pkg>	Thomas Petazzon	25/01/11
	Re: [Buildroot] [PATCH 06/10] autotools: allow host package to use <pkg>_MAKE_ENV and</pkg>	Peter Korsgaard	25/01/11
	[Buildroot] [PATCH 07/10] python: convert to autotargets, bump to 2.7.1, many improvement:	Thomas Petazzon	24/01/11
	[Buildroot] [PATCH 08/10] Remove .py or .pyc depending on Python configuration	Thomas Petazzon	24/01/11
	Re: [Buildroot] [PATCH 08/10] Remove .py or .pyc depending on Python configuration	Peter Korsgaard	24/01/11
	[Buildroot] [PATCH 09/10] python-serial: new package	Thomas Petazzon	24/01/11
	Re: [Buildroot] [PATCH 09/10] python-serial: new package	Peter Korsgaard	24/01/11
	[Buildroot] [PATCH 10/10] python-mad: new package	Thomas Petazzon	24/01/11
	Re: [Buildroot] [PATCH 10/10] python-mad: new package	Peter Korsgaard	24/01/11
	Re: [Buildroot] [pull request] Pull request for branch for-2011.02/python-bump	Thomas Petazzon	25/01/11
	Re: [Buildroot] [pull request] Pull request for branch for-2011.02/python-bump	Peter Korsgaard	25/01/11



To review changes made by other developers that are visible through their public repository, one can do:


## \$ git fetch thedeveloper

then all branches made by the other developer are accessibles as remotes/thedeveloper/branchname

\$ git log -p
master..remotes/thedeveloper/somebugfix




- You create a branch
- You do some work on this branch, with several commits
- The development goes on in the official project, with several changes being made
- How can you update your changes on top of all the improvements done by the other developers ?
- Solution: git rebase







### The branch fix-another-bug is behind master



## \$ git checkout fix-another-bug \$ git rebase master

First, rewinding head to replay your work on top of it... Applying: foo.c: fix message Applying: foo.c: more messages





#### The branch fix-another-bug is on top of master



- You develop
- You commit
- You develop
- You commit
- Oops, I forgot this, you fix, you commit
- $\blacktriangleright$   $\rightarrow$  you have an ugly history, which means ugly patches that show how stupid you are to the rest of the project members
- Git allows you to hide your stupidity!
- ▶ Your friend is interactive rebasing, using git rebase -i



## Interactive rebasing

- You are in branch fix-another-bug, which has been started on top of the master branch
- ▶ You run git rebase -i master
- A text editor will open, with one line per commit in your branch. Each line is prefixed with pick. With each line you can:
  - Keep the pick, the commit will be kept
  - Remove the line, which will completely remove the commit from the history
  - Change pick to edit, which will stop the rebase at the given commit, which allows to make further modifications to it
  - Change pick to reword, which allows to rephase the commit log
  - Change pick to fixup, which merges the commit into the previous one
  - Change pick to squash, which merges the commit into the previous one and edits the commit message



commit 2644e423d9b3f5514284f49f207cd4f7a8e8a764 Author: Thomas Petazzoni <thomas.petazzoni@free-electrons.com> Date: Wed Mar 30 15:50:41 2011 +0200

Really fix the return value

Signed-off-by: Thomas Petazzoni <thomas.petazzoni@free-electrons.com>

commit 874a6a0a3f0058a84dd857d2ef66f8b71cb3aeb5 Author: Thomas Petazzoni <thomas.petazzoni@free~electrons.com> Date: Wed Mar 30 15:50:27 2011 +0200

Fix return value

Signed-off-by: Thomas Petazzoni <thomas.petazzoni@free-electrons.com>

commit 87b3b0c7e8905d9c0328508050c5e0b596b873cf Author: Thomas Petazzoni <thomas.petazzoni@free~electrons.com> Date: Wed Mar 30 15:50:13 2011 +0200

foo.c: add englich

Signed-off-by: Thomas Petazzoni <thomas.petazzoni@free-electrons.com>

commit 969387105cfcc70562a88dd8505c57418bd4354f Author: Thomas Petazzoni <thomas.petazzoni@free-electrons.com> Date: Wed Mar 30 15:49:52 2011 +0200

foo.c: add spanish

Signed-off-by: Thomas Petazzoni <thomas.petazzoni@free-electrons.com>



What I want is:

- Fix the commit message in 87b3b0c7e8905d9c0328508050c5e0b596b873cf
- Merge 2644e423d9b3f5514284f49f207cd4f7a8e8a764 into 874a6a0e3f0058a84dd857d2ef68f8b71cb3aeb5



## \$ git rebase -i master It opens a text editor with:

```
pick 9693871 foo.c: add spanish
pick 87b3b0c foo.c: add englich
pick 87b3b0c foo.c: add englich
pick 87b3b0c foo.c: add englich
pick 2644642 Really fix the return value

# Rebase 974cd34..2644e42 onto 974cd34
#
# Commands:
# p, pick = use commit,
# r, reword = use commit, but edit the commit message
# r, reword = use commit, but stop for amending
# s, squash = use commit, but stop for amending
# s, squash = use commit, but dict the commit 's log message
#
# If you remove a line here THAT COMMIT WILL BE LOST.
# Hovever, if you remove everything, the rebase will be aborted.
```



#### You change it to:

pick 9693871 foo.c: add spanish reword 87b3b0c foo.c: add englich pick 874a6a0 Fix return value fixup 2644e42 Really fix the return value After rebase, git log master..

commit c332772474bbc808105e4076bbb8214762c9653f Author: Thomas Petazzoni <thomas.petazzoni@free~electrons.com> Date: Wed Mar 30 15:50:27 2011 +0200

Fix return value

Signed-off-by: Thomas Petazzoni <thomas.petazzoni@free-electrons.com>

commit 06a174ee4426cb83004614cf64a33c12c42670b0 Author: Thomas Petazzoni <thomas.petazzoni@free~electrons.com> Date: Wed Mar 30 15:50:13 2011 +0200


foo.c: add english

Signed-off-by: Thomas Petazzoni <thomas.petazzoni@free-electrons.com>

commit 969387105cfcc70562a88dd8505c57418bd4354f Author: Thomas Petazzoni <thomas.petazzoni@free~electrons.com> Date: Wed Mar 30 15:49:52 2011 +0200

foo.c: add spanish

Signed-off-by: Thomas Petazzoni <thomas.petazzoni@free-electrons.com>



- git rebase is a tool that rewrites the history: it merges, splits, and changes commits
- Therefore, if other people had access to a branch you created, you should never rebase on this branch, unless others are well informed that the branch might get rebased
- Rebasing is only useful to make modifications before showing your work to others.



- Wondered why the *index* thing is so cool ?
- Because you can stage only parts of the modification made to a given file to the *index* for committing!
- git add --patch myfile will ask for each chunk of the patch:
  - if you want to stage it, and possibly all other chunks
  - if you don't want to stage it, and possibly quit now
  - if you want to split the chunk into smaller chunks
- ► Another possibility is git add -i, for interactive adding




## \$ git diff

```
diff --git a/foo.c b/foo.c
index 0518d69..6371bb4 100644
--- a/foo.c
+++ b/foo.c
@@ -1,5 +1,6 @@
#include <stdio.h>
+#include <stdib.h>
int main(void) {
    printf("Bonjour Monde\n");
- return 0;
+ return 1;
}
```

Git partial adding example

### \$ git add --patch foo.c

```
diff --git a/foo.c b/foo.c
index 0518d69..6371bb4 100644
--- a/foo.c
+++ b/foo.c
@@ -1,5 +1,6 @@
#include <stdio.h>
+#include <stdio.h>
int main(void) {
    printf("Bonjour Monde\n");
    - return 0;
+ return 1;
}
Stage this hunk [y,n,q,a,d,/,s,e,?]?
```



## Let's split the commit:

Stage this hunk [y,n,q,a,d,/,s,e,?]? s

### stage the first chunk:

```
Split into 2 hunks.
@@ -1,3 +1,4 @@
#include <stdio.h>
+#include <stdib.h>
int main(void) {
    printf("Bonjour Monde\n");
Stage this hunk [y,n,q,a,d,/,j,J,g,e,?]? y
```


#### and skip the last chunk:

```
@@ -2,4 +3,4 @@
int main(void) {
    printf("Bonjour Monde\n");
    return 0;
    return 1;
    }
Stage this hunk [y,n,q,a,d,/,K,g,e,?]? q
```

🗭 Git partial adding example


#### \$ git diff --cached

```
diff --git a/foo.c b/foo.c
index 0518d69..41d6359 100644
--- a/foo.c
+++ b/foo.c
@@ -1,4 +1,5 @@
#include <stdio.h>
+#include <stdlib.h>
int main(void) {
    printf("Bonjour Monde\n");
    return 0;
```



## \$ git diff

```
diff --git a/foo.c b/foo.c
index 41d6359..6371bb4 100644
--- a/foo.c
+++ b/foo.c
@@ -2,5 +2,5 @@
#include <stdlib.h>
int main(void) {
    printf("Bonjour Monde\n");
- return 0;
+ return 1;
}
```



- Version control systems can usually be told to ignore certain file names or file name patterns.
- ▶ With *Git*, this is done in .gitignore files
- Each directory can have a .gitignore file, but Git travels back through the parent directories to find other .gitignore files
- For example, you can have a global .gitignore file at the root of your project that ignores \*.o, and another .gitignore file in a sub-directory to ignore a particular file.

## Other topics

- Bisecting, to quickly find the commit that introduced a regression
- git reset has many more features to reset the state of the index or the working copy
- git stash to put uncommitted changes on the side while doing something else
- git blame to check which of your colleague introduce this stupid bug
- Tags, with git tag
- git filter-branch, to rewrite a complete branch to make modifications on several commits
- ▶ git svn, for integration with *Subversion* repositories
- Graphical interfaces: Giggle in Gtk, QGit in Qt, EGit in Eclipse, etc.
- Git forges, such as *Gitorious* or *Github*

## References



## Aiello, Sachs

Configuration Management Best Practices : Practical Methods that Work in the Real World Addison-Wesley, 1st edition, 2010

Chacon Pro Git Apress, 2005. http://progit.org/book/

- diff/patch slides content adapted from Roberto Di Cosmo's slides at http://www.dicosmo.org/CourseNotes/LogicielLibre/
- Git slides are by Thomas Petazzoni http://thomas.enix.org/pub/conf/git2011/presentation.pdf