



# Assessing the Lack of Digital Sovereignty in Critical Open Source Components

Jean Leneutre, **Stefano Zacchioli**

Télécom Paris

`stefano.zacchioli@telecom-paris.fr`

7 Dec 2021

COPIL Chaire Cyber et Souveraineté Numérique  
Institut des Hautes Études de Défense Nationale (IHEDN)

- Professor of Computer Science, Télécom Paris, Institut Polytechnique de Paris
- Free/Open Source Software activist (20+ years)
- Debian Developer & Former 3x Debian Project Leader
- Former Open Source Initiative (OSI) director
- Software Heritage co-founder & CTO

# Context — Free/Open Source Software is Everywhere

- Modern software development relies heavily on **software reuse**
- Specifically, reuse of Free / **Open Source** Software (FOSS)
  - 96% of software products on the market contains at least *some* open source code in them (OSSRA 2020, Synopsis)

This induces **dependencies** of different kinds:

- ① Development *processes and tools* — e.g., GitHub, Travis, VS Code
- ② Specific *open source components* — popular languages, libraries, frameworks, etc.

- What happens to our development activities if we **lose access** to dependencies?
- **Who** can affect our software development practices via dependencies?
- Such actors can **sneak code into** our products and impact us via **technical decisions**

As part of this action we propose to perform a **digital sovereignty assessment** exercise about critical open source components that will answer the following questions.

- **Who** develops critical FOSS components we depend upon for sw. development?
- Which **type of actors** are them?
  - individuals / public bodies / for-profit companies / non-profit organizations
- Where, and in particular in **which countries**, are those actors based?
- What would be the **impact** of losing access, temporarily or in the long run, to the relevant software components
  - e.g., due to *unavailability* or changes in *intellectual property* regimes?
- Which **preemptive countermeasures** can be put in place to mitigate the impact of losing that access?

- Identify **use cases** based on *chaire* partners interests: full ecosystems (e.g., Python, Node, Java, etc.) and/or development practices and tools
- Define a **methodology** that, given as input a (potentially large) set of FOSS projects, produces as output an overview of who contributes to them
- For each use case, **identify critical dependencies** on 3rd-party FOSS components
- For each identified dependency, determine the practical and business **impact of losing access** to it
- For each identified dependency, **determine who develop** it and collect all relevant data that could inform strategic decisions (type of actor, geographic location, business model and funding, etc.)
- **Summarize findings** in a comprehensive report
- **Recommend digital countermeasures** to either eliminate dependencies or mitigate the impact of losing access to them

## Timeline

T+0M	kick-off
T+2M	use cases identification
T+8M	analysis of technical dependencies
T+10M	analysis of relevant geopolitical data
T+12M	summary of findings and recommendations (report)

- FOSS components are commonplace critical dependencies in software development and that has profound implications on our digital sovereignty
- We will explore who develop critical FOSS components we depend upon and characterize them along geopolitical aspects like geographical origin and actor type
- We will summarize our findings and propose risk mitigation approaches

## Contacts

Stefano Zacchioli / [stefano.zacchioli@telecom-paris.fr](mailto:stefano.zacchioli@telecom-paris.fr)